

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/766,725	01/22/2001	Egbert Jux	CL/V-30578A	1309	•
1095 7:	590 04/08/2003				
THOMAS HOXIE NOVARTIS, CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ONE HEALTH PLAZA 430/2			EXAMINER]
			ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J		•
EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	110
			1756		,
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				AS-1
3		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/766,725	JUX ET AL.	
		Examin r	Art Unit	
		Martin J Angebranndt	1756	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	;
THE M - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicativ period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by peply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. The areply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communion NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	22 January 2003 and 03 Febru	ary 2003 .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)∑	This action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice u on of Claims	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 and 22-26 is/are pending i	n the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 and 22-26 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌 -	Γhe specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) 🔲 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection			
11)[]]	The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required			
12)[7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in Ap	plication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	е
	ee the attached detailed Office action for	•		ication)
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for do			ication).
)	• •		
Attachment	•			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/766,725 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

1. The Applicant's cancellation of non-elected claims 17-21 is acknowledged. The response of the applicant has been read and given careful consideration. Response to the amendment and accompanying arguments are presented after the first rejection to which they are directed. The independent claims is read and requiring the presence of the metal film and the plastic film and that the structure be sealing a contact lens container.

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. The amendment filed 1/22/2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The insertions into the specification at page 5 between the second and third paragraphs set forth on pages 2 and 3 of the amendment. This must be cancelled in the next response.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Please insert - - manufacturing- - before "lines" to make it clear what kind of lines these are. (see discussion with respect to figure 2 on page 4 of the specification)

Application/Control Number: 09/766,725 Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-10,13 and 15-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiyosaki JP 08-047784, in view of Edwards et al. '059.

Kiyosaki JP 08-047784 (note machine translation) shows marked blister packs in figures 3 and 4. These are marked as part of the continuous process disclosed with respect to figure 2. The carbon dioxide laser and marking optics are shown as 11 and 12 in figure 2. The use of a laser to remove a portion of an ink layer in section [0004]. The PVC is discolored by the CO₂ laser irradiation and each pocket of the blister pack is marked. [0011]. The apparatus "8" punches out each of the separate groups. The apparatus "6" seals the packaging. These are shown in figures 3 and 4 as two columns of 5 tablets. Each if the columns is considered as strip. The blister pack is a laminate as the blister is formed between two layers.

Edwards et al. '059 teaches the packaging of contact lenses in blister packs. (5/58-6/2 and 3/6-20). The structure comprises an outer plastic layer bonded to an aluminum or other foil which is bonded to the base containing the depressions and the contact lenses. The use of two rows with five recesses each is disclosed. (6/13-26).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use known methods for marking blister packs in a on-line/continuous process taught by Kiyosaki JP 08-047784 with blister packs containing contact lenses, such as taught by Edwards et al. '059 with a reasonable expectation of successfully marking the packaging.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,725 Page 4

Art Unit: 1756

The applicant argues that the claims require a laminate, but that Kiyosaki JP 08-047784 does not disclose one and teaches away from one based upon the disadvantageous nature of the ink layer formed over the plastic film. The preference in Kiyosaki JP 08-047784 is to mark the plastic directly [0016]. The Edwards et al. '059 reference is relied upon to teach the laminate and Kiyosaki JP 08-047784 teaches marking only the plastic layer and therefore is congruent for combination with Edwards et al. '059 as it does not perforate the plastic film and therefore does not reach the metal foil. The disadvantages of using inks or mere embossing are taught in Kiyosaki JP 08-047784 [0016]. The rejection stands.

8. Claims 1-13 and 15-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiyosaki JP 08-047784, in view of Edwards et al. '059 and Roy '771.

Roy '771 teaches that carbon dioxide lasers, and YAG laser are known to be useful in laser marking (3/36-51). The energy, wavelength and laser spot size are disclosed. (5/51-62 and table 1.) The laser spot size is equivalent to the hole size.

In addition to the basis provided above, Roy '771 teaches the equivalence of carbon dioxide and Nd-YAG lasers in laser marking processes as well as the size of width of the markings which is the same as the laser beam and the examiner holds that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of the combination of Kiyosaki JP 08-047784 and Edwards et al. '059 by using other lasers and/or laser spot sizes, such as those taught by Roy '771 based upon the disclosure of equivalence within that reference.

As the arguments are the same as above, the response provided above is relied upon without further comment. The rejection stands.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,725

Art Unit: 1756

9. Claims 1-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiyosaki JP 08-047784, in view of Edwards et al. '059 and Roy '771, further in view of Bornfleth et al. '683

Bornfleth et al. '683 establishes that within the manufacturing field, it is old and well known that closely adjacent processing lines allow a single attendant to monitor several of them simultaneously.

In addition to the basis provided above, the examiner holds that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of the combination of Kiyosaki JP 08-047784, Edwards et al. '059 and Roy '771 by running plural lines adjacent to one another to save on personnel costs as disclosed by Bornfleth et al. '683.

As the arguments are the same as above, the response provided above is relied upon without further comment. The rejection stands.

10. Claims 1-13, 15-16 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiyosaki JP 08-047784, in view of Edwards et al. '059, Roy '771 and Kim et al. '878

Kim et al. '878 teaches that the polymeric (plastic) sheet may be colored or not. (8/57-61)

In addition to the basis provided above, the use of either colored or uncolored plastics is considered obvious based upon the disclosure of Kim et al. '878. The use of the colorant makes no difference to the marking as the polymer itself absorbs the laser in Kiyosaki JP 08-047784.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin J Angebranndt whose telephone number is 703-308-4397. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 703-308-2464. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/766,725

Art Unit: 1756

Page 6

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Martin J Angebranndt Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

April 3, 2003